## Automated design of photonic experiments for device-independent quantum key distribution

Xavier Valcarce<sup>1</sup>, Pavel Sekatski<sup>2</sup>, Elie Gouzien<sup>1</sup>, Alexey Melnikov<sup>3</sup>, Nicolas Sangouard<sup>1</sup>

All sector se

<sup>1</sup> Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, Institut de Physique Théorique, 91191, Gif-sur-Yvette, France <sup>2</sup> Group of Applied Physics, University of Geneva, 1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland <sup>3</sup> Terra Quantum AG, 9000 St Gallen, Switzerland





#### arXiv:2209.06468

## From Classical to Quantum Cryptography





## Reinforcement Learning



## Quantum Optical Circuits



## From Classical cryptography...

#### Alice





Symmetric cryptography:

Alice and Bob share the same key



X



Bob



## used to en/de-crypt the message



## From Classical cryptography...

#### Alice



#### Asymmetric cryptography:

Bob has a private key



and shares a public key

Practical, no need for a pre-shared keys of size

Cryptography proof relying on computational assumption Can be hacked using a quantum computer [Gouzien et. al., PRL 127 (14)]







... to Quantum Cryptography

Quantum key distribution:

Use quantum ressources to generate and distribute a symmetric key Key is provably secure (unkown to a third party, Eve)



QKD relies on a small set of assumptions:

 $\checkmark$  The devices used to generate the key behave according to quantum theory Alice and Bob have access to random numbers Alice and Bob labs are isolated (no information leakage)  $\checkmark$  Classical information is performed on trusted computers X Their quantum devices are trusted and perfectly calibrated → Can be hacked (side channel attack) [F. Xu et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 92]

Device-independent quantum key distribution

Device-independent:

No assumptions made on the quantum devices

DIQKD, principles:

Entanglement-based

Maximally entangled state

Measurement outcomes are Measurement outcomes are strongly unpredictible to any third party correlated



Bell tests are used as a security statement

# $H(\bigcirc |B)=0$





# At each round an entangled state 🜟 is distributed to Alice and Bob



At each round an entangled state **\*** is distributed to Alice and Bob

Alice and Bob randomly chose a measurement setting  $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ 

They measure  $\bigstar$  using measurements  $\hat{A}_x, \hat{B}_y$ 

Outcomes  $A_x, B_y$  are recorded



Two types of rounds:

Test round → Bell test

 $\hat{A}_0, \hat{A}_1, \hat{B}_0, \hat{B}_2$  are used to compute the CHSH score

$$S = \langle \hat{A}_0 \hat{B}_0 \rangle + \langle \hat{A}_0 \hat{B}_1 \rangle + \langle \hat{A}_1 \hat{B}_0 \rangle - \langle \hat{A}_1 \hat{B}_1 \rangle$$

Key generation round  $\longrightarrow$   $\checkmark$ generated from  $A_0$ Bob tries to guess  $\checkmark$  using  $B_2$ 



Key rate: number of secure key bit that can be extracted per round

In the assymptotic limit of a large number of round

 $r = H(\mathbb{Q} \mid \mathbb{Q}) - H(\mathbb{Q} \mid B)$   $\downarrow$ can be bounded using the CHSH score  $\leq 1 - f(S)$ 

#### **DIQKD** Implementation

What's needed:

Generation of entangled states to obtain high CHSH score and correlated keys High frequency of state generation to obtain a sufficient number of round in a limited time



## Practical / Commercial

- Photonic plateform seems promising Bell-CHSH game already implemented
- High state-generation rate
- Capacity to implement complex circuit
- X Low CHSH score
  - Susceptible to losses

## A DIQKD Implementation: SPDC source

"Standard" implementation to realize Bell tests is using a SPDC source generating photons entangled in polarization



Quantum Optics: operations



Single-mode squeezer



Phase-shifter

## Displacement (in p)

## Displacement (in x)

## Heralding

## Photo-detection (NPNR)

#### Quantum Optics: simulation

Bosonic mode are characterized by ladder operators or, alternatively, with  $\hat{x}_i = \frac{a_i^{\dagger} + a_i}{2}$  and  $\hat{p}_i = i \frac{a_i^{\dagger} - a_i}{2}$ For a n-mode system, we have  $\mathbf{q} = (\hat{x}_1, \hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n, \hat{x}$ Gaussian state can be represented using  $2n^2+3$  real parameters: 2n displacement vector  $\mu$  $\mu_i = \langle q_i \rangle$ 

Gaussian operations acts following  $T:(\mu,\Sigma) \to (T)$ 

Heralding operation are non-Gaussian but the resulting (conditionned) state is a sum of Gaussian state

$$a_i, a_i^\dagger a_i$$

$$(\hat{p}_n)$$

## 2nx2n covariance matrix $\Sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \langle q_i q_j + q_j q_i \rangle$

$$M\mu + \vec{d}, M\Sigma M^T)$$

#### QuantumOpticalCircuits.jl

Julia pkg to simulate Gaussian optics, heradling, and photondetection

Available on github.com/xvalcarce/QuantumOpticalCircuits.jl



julia> using QuantumOpticalCircuits

julia> state = PseudoGaussianState(3);

julia> state = state |> TMS(0.01)(2,3) |> Heralding(3) |> BS(π/4)(1,2);

julia> p\_click\_1 = state |> PhotonDetector(1, n=0.8) 0.4000239998415148

julia> p\_click\_2 = state |> PhotonDetector(2, n=0.8) 0.4000239998415148

#### Reinforcement Learning

Reinforcement Learning aims at learning a task (game) by trial-and-erros



# Environment

#### **Environment:** n-mode Optical Circuits



Stop: Maximum # gate reached || r = 1

State: Gaussian state, i.e.  $\Sigma, \mu$ 

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

RL for photonic DIQKD, found circuits

## Maximize r in a ideal scenario

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

Maximize loss such that r > 10

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Â, $y \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ Dp В

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Takeaways

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

We used Reinforcement Learning to design quantum optical circuit allowing to implement device-independent quantum key distribution

# Thanks for your attention

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)